

## Biden lays out familiar tax-and-spending agenda; Manchin floats possible compromise

President Joe Biden appears to have retired the “Build Back Better” brand for his signature tax-and-spending plan, but in his State of the Union address this week he unveiled a new label—Building a Better America—for many of those same ideas. And as decades-high inflation becomes an entrenched concern, the president is now pitching his proposals to increase taxes on corporations and wealthy individuals and invest in green energy and social programs as the keys to taming the rising cost of living.

Meanwhile, West Virginia Democratic Sen. Joe Manchin, who is positioned to provide the elusive fiftieth vote that would allow the president’s plan to clear the Senate under fast-track budget reconciliation procedures, offered his own views about what an acceptable bill might look like.

### Tax code changes, clean energy investments

President Biden went to Capitol Hill March 1 to deliver his first State of the Union address and, without explicitly referencing it, made a strong pitch for Congress to quickly pass key elements of the House-approved Build Back Better Act (H.R. 5376), focusing especially on investment in clean energy and on tax increases for large corporations and the highest-income individuals. The roughly \$1.75 trillion package was approved in the House last November but stalled in the Senate after Sen. Manchin announced he would not support the measure in its current form. (For more on Manchin’s concerns, plus details on other issues that have impeded the bill’s progress in the Senate, see *Tax News & Views*, Vol. 22, No. 55, Dec. 17, 2021, and *Tax News & Views*, Vol. 22, No. 56, Dec. 20, 2021. A detailed discussion of the tax provisions in the House-approved bill is available from Deloitte Tax LLP.)

**URL:** <https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/5376/text>

**URL:** [https://dhub.blob.core.windows.net/dhub/Newsletters/Tax/2021/TNV/211217\\_1.html](https://dhub.blob.core.windows.net/dhub/Newsletters/Tax/2021/TNV/211217_1.html)

**URL:** [https://dhub.blob.core.windows.net/dhub/Newsletters/Tax/2021/TNV/211220\\_1.html](https://dhub.blob.core.windows.net/dhub/Newsletters/Tax/2021/TNV/211220_1.html)

**URL:** <https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/us/Documents/Tax/us-tax-provisions-in-the-build-back-better-act.pdf>

During his address, the president largely kept his discussion of tax policy at a high level.

“The one thing all Americans agree on is that the tax system is not fair. We have to fix it,” he said. “I’m not looking to punish anyone. But let’s make sure corporations and the wealthiest Americans start paying their fair share.”

Biden did not mention an increase in the corporate tax rate, something he has endorsed since his presidential campaign but which so far lacks the votes to pass in the Senate due to Arizona Democratic Sen. Kyrsten Sinema’s apparent opposition to tax rate hikes. He did, however, express his support for imposing a minimum tax through both the global intangible low-taxed income (GILTI) regime and through a new levy on corporate book income. (The Build Back Better Act does not call for raising the corporate tax rate but does propose to

tighten the current-law GILTI rules and impose a 15 percent minimum tax on the book income of certain corporations.)

He likewise refrained from calling for an increase in the top income tax rate for individuals—also something Sen. Sinema has refused to support—and instead advocated “closing loopholes so the very wealthy don’t pay a lower tax rate than a teacher or a firefighter.” (The Build Back Better Act would not increase income tax rates on wealthier taxpayers but would impose a new surtax on certain upper-income individuals, estates, and trusts; broaden the application of the net investment income tax to include trade or business income of certain upper-income taxpayers; and permanently disallow excess business losses for noncorporate taxpayers.)

Saying that his “top priority is getting prices under control” after the US saw December and January inflation numbers come in at the highest levels since the early 1980s, the president highlighted his proposals to cut the cost of prescription drugs and child care and to reduce energy costs “by combating climate change”—including through significant tax credits and incentives for renewable energy. (The Build Back Better Act proposes production and investment tax credits related to renewable and alternative energy property and for production of certain alternative fuels; business- and consumer-focused incentives for energy-efficient buildings; incentives to promote alternative fuel vehicles for consumer and commercial use; credits to develop a “green” workforce; and direct-pay elections with respect to certain clean energy tax credits, all as part of the Biden administration’s larger effort to mitigate climate change.)

Taken as a whole, “[m]y plan to fight inflation will lower your costs and lower the deficit,” Biden said.

### **Manchin weighs in**

The president may have a challenge repositioning his plan, however. Sen. Manchin, the key Democratic holdout on the Build Back Better legislation in the Senate, has for months cited rising inflation as a key reason to pump the brakes on additional federal spending. When asked by a reporter shortly after the speech whether Biden’s remarks about lowering costs allayed his own concerns about inflation, Manchin replied: “I’ve never found out that you can lower costs by spending more.”

Just one day later, though, Manchin laid out for *Politico* his vision of a plan that could get his vote. Specifically, he noted that he agrees with the president on the need to cut prescription drug prices and change the tax code to ensure that corporations and wealthy individuals pay their fair share. Half of any revenue that can be gained from those measures should be allocated to reducing the federal deficit and lowering inflation, he explained, and the other half should be allocated to climate change mitigation programs and social spending “to the point where it’s sustainable.” (Manchin has argued that the short-term social spending initiatives in the House-passed Build Back Better legislation “camouflage” the real cost of the package since a future Congress likely would try to further extend these temporary provisions or make them permanent.)

“The spending is going to be climate,” Manchin said. “And the social issues, we basically have to deal with those [afterward].”

## A path forward?

The fact that Manchin has not ruled out all possibility of advancing some form of a tax-and-spending bill is keeping hope alive for Democrats, who are still eager to take advantage of their ability to use the budget reconciliation process that lets them bypass the usual 60-vote filibuster threshold in the Senate for legislation and send a bill to the president with a simple majority (comprised of the 50 Senate Democrats plus the tie-breaking vote of Vice President Harris).

Ahead of the president's speech, a diverse group of House Democrats, mostly from the progressive wing of the party but also representing some fiscally conservative moderates, issued a statement urging swift passage of legislation that resembles the House-passed Build Back Better legislation.

[URL: https://connolly.house.gov/news/documentsingle.aspx?DocumentID=4486](https://connolly.house.gov/news/documentsingle.aspx?DocumentID=4486)

"It's not too late to meet this moment," the statement said. "There is broad consensus on the most critical solutions Americans need to lower costs for families, tackle the climate crisis, and create opportunities and good-paying jobs. It is time to act."

One of the Democratic leaders who released that statement—Congressional Progressive Caucus Chair Pramila Jayapal of Washington—told *The Washington Post* in an interview published on March 3 that progressives could be amenable to Manchin's proposed approach.

"Let's come up with the revenue-producing measures," Jayapal said, and then "look at it from there," adding that progressives were "open to putting some [revenue] toward deficit reduction, and then climate."

"We'd have to see all the details, but [we're] are absolutely committed to trying to deliver as much as we can," she said.

Efforts to return focus in the Senate to a tax-and-spending bill are likely to be postponed in the near term while Democrats work through the pending Supreme Court confirmation process for Judge Ketanji Brown Jackson (Biden's pick to replace retiring Justice Stephen Breyer) and weigh possible legislative responses to Russia's invasion of Ukraine, but quiet discussions may continue in the background. The sole hard deadline for action is when the fiscal year 2022 budget resolution (which is the vehicle for reconciliation legislation) expires at the end of September.

Manchin said this week that while he has had no "formal" negotiations with the administration, there is "informal back and forth."

"Different White House people reach out, and we talk from time to time," he said.

— Storme Sixeas  
Tax Policy Group  
Deloitte Tax LLP

This document contains general information only and Deloitte is not, by means of this document, rendering accounting, business, financial, investment, legal, tax, or other professional advice or services. This document is not a substitute for such professional advice or services, nor should it be used as a basis for any decision or action that may affect your business. Before making any decision or taking any action that may affect your business, you should consult a qualified professional advisor. Deloitte shall not be responsible for any loss sustained by any person who relies on this document.

#### **About Deloitte**

Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited (“DTTL”), its global network of member firms, and their related entities (collectively, the “Deloitte organization”). DTTL (also referred to as “Deloitte Global”) and each of its member firms and related entities are legally separate and independent entities, which cannot obligate or bind each other in respect of third parties. DTTL and each DTTL member firm and related entity is liable only for its own acts and omissions, and not those of each other. DTTL does not provide services to clients. Please see [www.deloitte.com/about](http://www.deloitte.com/about) to learn more.